

Itikaaf is restricted to the three mosques

(رَحِمَهُ اللهُ Translated by Aboo Talhah Daawood ibn Ronald Burbank (رَحِمَهُ اللهُ

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

I'TIKAAF IS RESTRICTED TO THE THREE MOSQUES (AL-MASJIDUL-<u>H</u>ARAAM IN MAKKAH, AL-MASJIDUN-NABAWEE IN AL-MADEENAH, AND AL-MASJIDUL-AQ<u>S</u>AA IN JERUSALEM)

The evidence being the authentic <u>h</u>adee<u>th</u> of <u>Hudh</u>ayfah (رضى الله عنه)¹ that Allaah's Messenger (صلى الله عليه)² said:

(لا اعتكاف إلا في المساجد الثلاثة)

<<There is no l'tikaaf except in the three mosques.>>

<u>Sh</u>ay<u>kh</u> al-Albaanee-(رَحِمَّهُ الله)³-authenticated this <u>H</u>adee<u>th</u> in 'Silsilatul-A<u>h</u>aadee<u>this-S</u>a<u>h</u>ee<u>h</u>ah'

(vol. 6, pp. 667-676, no. 2786), saying:

"It was reported by al-Ismaa'eelee in 'al-Mu'jam' (112/2): from his <u>Shaykh</u> al-'Abbaas ibn A<u>h</u>mad al-Wa<u>sh</u>aa: Mu<u>h</u>ammad ibn al-Faraj narrated to us; and al-Bayhaqee in 'as-Sunan (4/316) by way of Mu<u>h</u>ammad ibn Aadam al-Marwazee: both of them from Sufyaan ibn 'Uyainah: from Jaami' ibn Abee <u>Sh</u>addaad: from Aboo Waa.il who said: <u>H</u>udhayfah said to 'Abdullaah [meaning: Ibn Mas'ood- (مني الله)]: "[A people] are performing l'tikaaf between your house and the house of Aboo Moosaa and you do not correct (and in a narration: you do not forbid them)?! And you know that Allaah's Messenger (صلى الله عليه وسلم) said: ... and he mentioned it..

So 'Abdullaah said: "Perhaps you have forgotten and they have memorized; or you have made a mistake and they are correct."

I say: This is a chain of narration 'saheeh' to the standard of the two Shaykhs; and the saying of Ibn Mas'ood is not a direct statement definitely declaring Hudhayfah to be mistaken in his narrating the wording of the hadeeth. Rather perhaps he was declaring him to be mistaken in using the hadeeth to apply to the l'tikaaf which Hudhayfah was criticizing, since it is possible that the meaning of the hadeeth with Ibn Mas'ood was: 'There is no **complete** l'tikaaf', just like his (صلى الله عليه وسلم) saying: <<**There is no Eemaan for the one who has no trustworthiness, and there is no Religion for the one who does not keep his agreements**>>, and Allaah knows best.

ارضي الله عنه) (rad iyallaahu `anhu) May Allaah be pleased with him

² (صلى الله عليه وسلم) (sallalaahu 'alayhi wa sallam) May the peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him

 $^{^3}$ (تَحِمَّهُ اللهُ) (rahimahullaah) May Allaah have mercy on him

For more articles on Islam please visit LearnAboutIslam.Net

l'tikaaf is restricted to the three mosques

Then I saw that $a\underline{t}$ -<u>Tah</u>aawee reported the <u>h</u>adee<u>th</u> in 'al-Mu<u>sh</u>kil' (4/20) through the aforementioned chain, and he claimed that it was abrogated !

Likewise 'Abdur-Razzaaq reported it in 'al-Mu<u>s</u>annaf' (4/348/no.8016), and from him a<u>t-T</u>abaraanee (9/350/no.9511) from Ibn 'Uyainah with it, except that he did not clearly trace it back to the Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم).

And Sa'eed ibn Man<u>s</u>oor reported it: Sufyaan related to us, with it; except that he had doubt whether it was traced back to the Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم), and he abridged it. So he said:... from <u>Sh</u>aqeeq ibn Salamah who said: <u>Hudh</u>ayfah said to 'Abdullaah ibn Mas'ood:

"You know that Allaah's Messenger (صلى الله عليه وسلم) said: <<There is no I'tikaaf except in the three mosques>>, or he said: <<A congregational mosque>>."

Ibn <u>H</u>azm mentioned it in 'al-Mu<u>h</u>allaa' (5/195), and then he rejected the <u>h</u>adee<u>th</u> on the basis of this doubt; and he is excused since he did not come across the narration of the main body of narrators from Ibn 'Uyainah, tracing it back to the Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) without any doubt; and they are:

1-Muhammad ibn al-Faraj, in the report of al-Ismaa'eelee;

2-Mahmood ibn Aadam al-Marwazee, in the report of al-Bayhaqee;

3-Hi<u>sh</u>aam ibn `Ammaar, in the report of at-<u>Tah</u>aawee;

and they are all reliable (thiqaat), and here are there biographies taken from 'at-Taqreeb':

1-"He is al-Qurashee, their mawlaa, al-Baghdaadee, 'Sadooq' (trustworthy), from the Shaykhs of Muslim";

2-" <u>'Sadooq</u>' (trustworthy), from the <u>Shaykh</u>s of al-Bu<u>kh</u>aaree according to what Ibn 'Adiyy mentioned";

3-" <u>'Sadooq</u>' (trustworthy), a reciter. When he grew old he began to except narrations; so the narrations are more authentic, he was also from the <u>Shaykhs of al-Bukhaaree</u>."

ا say: So their agreement with the two reliable narrators who came before is a proof that he correctly memorized it. So those who doubted about whether it was traced back to the Prophet (ملى الله عليه وسلم) (marfoo') or whether it was 'mawqoof' (the saying of the Companion) will not harm them; since its being traced back to the Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) is an addition from reliable narrators, which must therefore be accepted.

Then I saw that al-Faakihee reported it in 'A<u>kh</u>baar Makkah' (2/149/no. 1334): Sa'eed ibn 'Abdir-Ra<u>h</u>maan and Mu<u>h</u>ammad ibn Abee 'Umar narrated to us, both saying: Sufyaan narrated to us with it; except that they did not doubt. So this is an important point of benefit, and they are both reliable (<u>th</u>iqah) also.

LEARN ABOUT ISLAN Spreading the Message of

I'tikaaf is restricted to the three mosques

So in summary the agreement of those five reliable narrators upon tracing the <u>hadeeth</u> back to the Prophet, without any doubt it, is a decisive proof that the <u>hadeeth</u> is a saying of his ($(-u_u)$); and that the hesitation of Sa'eed ibn Man<u>s</u>oor about whether it is marfoo' or mawqoof does not affect its authenticity; especially since the context of the incident further emphasizes that-if it is carefully considered. That is because <u>Hudh</u>ayfah would not criticize Ibn Mas'ood for remaining silent about those people performing l'tikaaf in the mosques between the houses upon the basis of his opinion alone, when he knew his virtue and his knowledge and understanding $-ra\underline{d}$ iyallaahu 'anhumaa.

So if the hadeeth did not emanate from the Prophet in his view, then he would not have been so bold as to criticize him with something which could not establish proof against him.

Even the narration of 'Abdur-Razzaaq which is 'mawqoof' lends weight to what I have mentioned, since it is with the wording:

" 'A people performing I'tikaaf between your house and the house of Aboo Moosaa, and you do not forbid the!"

So 'Abdullaah said to him: 'Perhaps they are correct and you are mistaken, and they have memorized and you have forgotten!' So <u>Hudh</u>ayfah said: "There is no l'tikaaf except in these three mosques....", and he mentioned them.

And like it is the narration of Ibraaheem who said: "<u>Hudh</u>ayfah came to 'Abdullaah and said: 'Are you not surprised at your people performing I'tikaaf between your house and the house of al-A<u>sh</u>'aree' meaning: (in) the mosque!

'Abdullaah said: 'Perhaps they are correct and you are mistaken.' So <u>Hudh</u>ayfah said: 'Do you not know that there is no I'tikaaf except in three mosques-(and he mentioned them.) I would not care whether I performed I'tikaaf in it or in this market place of yours,' (and those who were performing I'tikaaf, and who were criticized by <u>Hudh</u>ayfah were in the largest mosque in Koofah)."

This was reported by Ibn Abee <u>Shaybah in 'al-Musannaf' (3/91)</u>-and the context is his-, and likewise by 'Abdur-Razzaaq (4/347-348)- and the addition is his, and from him by a<u>t-Tabaraanee (9510)</u>; and its narrators are reliable, narrators (accepted by) the two <u>Shaykhs</u>, except for the fact that Ibraaheem- who is an-Na<u>kh</u>a'ee-did not reach <u>Hudh</u>ayfah.

So <u>Hudh</u>ayfah's using this phrase: 'There is no I'tikaaf' as evidence against Ibn Mas'ood gives the impression that it was a proof with him, otherwise he would not say: 'Do you not know...' etc., and Allaah knows best.

And know that the scholars disagree concerning the conditional nature of the mosque for l'tikaaf, and its description, as you will see in detail in the two 'Musannaafs' which have been mentioned,

l'tikaaf is restricted to the three mosques

and in 'al-Muhallaa' and elsewhere. So there is nothing authentic in that regard which can be used as evidence except for his Saying-He the Most High-:

(وَأَنتُمْ عَلِكُفُونَ فِي ٱلْمَسَلِحِدِ)

[SOORATUL-BAQARAH (2): 187]

[[Meaning: Whilst you are performing I'tikaaf in the mosques]];

and this authentic <u>hadeeth</u>; and the Aayah is general, and the <u>hadeeth</u> is specific. So the principles (of Fiqh) necessitate restricting the general to the specific. So therefore the <u>hadeeth</u> specifies and clarifies the Aayah. This is indicated by the speech of <u>Hudh</u>ayfah and his <u>Hadeeth</u>.

Then the reports (aa<u>th</u>aar) about that also disagree, so what has most right is to accept those reports from them which conform to the Hadeeth, such as the saying of Sa'eed ibn al-Musayyib: "There is no I'tikaaf except in the mosque of a Prophet,"

This is reported by Ibn Abee Shaybah and Ibn Hazm with a 'saheeh' chain of narration from him....."

